



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,788	07/13/2006	Rick M. Salisbury	01714-21855.PROV.PCT.US	3084
20551 7590 03/18/2008 THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP. P.O. Box 1219 SANDY, UT 84091-1219				
EXAMINER				
PATEL, KIRAN B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3612				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/18/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/563,788

Applicant(s)

SALISBURY, RICK M.

Examiner

/Kiran B. Patel/

Art Unit

3612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____

Art Unit: 3612

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3, 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roethel (6,231,104).

Regarding Claims 1-3, 7-12, Roethel (6,231,104) discloses the invention as claimed to include a fairing frame member 125 at least partially defining a shape for the fairing 10; multiple coupling portions 130, 120 positioned on the fairing frame member and configured to removably couple the frame member to the front portion of the motorcycle; and at least one flexible fairing cover 10 portion configured for attachment to and at least partially covering the fairing frame member 125; wherein said fairing frame member 125 and flexible fairing cover are detachable from the front portion of the motorcycle and operable to be reconfigured into a smaller configuration for storage Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing frame member 125 includes multiple support members 130, 120 operable to be removably coupled to each other to form the fairing frame member Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing frame member and at least one flexible cover includes a modular configuration that facilitates quick assembly/disassembly into smaller components Fig 1-3; wherein the flexible fairing cover 10 is formed of a material selected from a group consisting of canvas, vinyl, polymeric blends, leather, and synthetic leather Fig 1-3; wherein the flexible fairing cover may removably couple to the fairing frame member using an attachment means selected from a list consisting of snaps, releasable loops, and straps Fig 1-3; wherein the flexible fairing cover has an opening configured to fit around a headlight 20 on the front of the motorcycle Fig 1-3; wherein the opening is lined with an elastic material to fit various shapes and sizes of headlights Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing is configured to attach to the front portion of a windshield Fig 1-3; wherein the fairing frame member is formed with a durable and rigid skeletal member Fig 1-3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the

Deleted: 1

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: Lumley et al. (5,630,645)

Deleted: 1

Deleted: Lumley et al. (5,630,645)

Deleted: a passenger seat 8, an anchor arrangement configured to detachably couple to a rearward facing infant seat 10, an anchor point 15a, and a tether 11. ¶ 9

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:¶

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --¶

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.¶

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).¶

¶ Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lumley et al. (5,630,645).¶

Regarding Claims 1, Lumley et al. (5,630,645) ... [6]

Art Unit: 3612

time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roethel (6,231,104) as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Mallett (4,655,497).

Regarding Claims 5-6, Roethel (6,231,104) discloses the invention as claimed. However, Roethel (6,231,104) does not disclose the fairing frame member can be formed of multiple support members including a middle portion, first and second side portions, and intermediate portions to define the shape of the fairing; and the multiple support members are at least partially formed with a tubular formation and can be coupled together in a telescopic/mating arrangement.

Mallett (4,655,497) discloses the fairing frame member can be formed of multiple support members including a middle portion, first and second side portions, and intermediate portions to define the shape of the fairing; and the multiple support members are at least partially formed with a tubular formation and can be coupled together in a telescopic/mating arrangement Fig 1-5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention, as disclosed by Roethel (6,231,104), to include the fairing frame member can be formed of multiple support members including a middle portion, first and second side portions, and intermediate portions to define the shape of the fairing; and the multiple support members are at least partially formed with a tubular formation and can be coupled together in a telescopic/mating arrangement, as disclosed by Mallett (4,655,497) to minimize the weight and minimize the folded size for easy and convenient storage.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: 12

Deleted: Lumley et al. (5,630,645)

Deleted: 11

Deleted: Curtis et al. (6,447,010)

Deleted: 12

Deleted: Lumley et al. (5,630,645)

Deleted: Lumley et al. (5,630,645)

Deleted: a detection arrangement.

Deleted: Curtis et al. (6,447,010)

Deleted: In Fig 1-7 a detection arrangement.

Deleted: Lumley et al. (5,630,645)

Deleted: a detection arrangement

Deleted: Curtis et al. (6,447,010)

Deleted: detect if the anchor arrangement is detachably coupled

Deleted: -#-Claims 4, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180) as applied to claim 11 and further in view of ordinary skill in the art. ¶

Regarding Claims 4, 7, Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180) discloses the invention as claimed. ¶

However, Jacobsen et al. (4,792,180) does not disclose that the inner layer is reinforced with glass fiber and the outer skin is made of plastic. ¶

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reinforce the inner layer with glass fiber and the outer skin is made of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use to optimize the cost of the product made in light of size, availability, capacity to manufacture, manufacturing technology. (7)

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Art Unit: 3612

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Kiran B. Patel/

Kiran B. Patel P.E.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

March 19, 2008